IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

Chamber Summons No. 737 of 2005
in
Suit No. 580 of 2005

State Bank of India ..Plaintiffs

vs.

Bhavani Shankar & Ors. ..Defendants

and

Vijay V.Patel and anr. ..Respondents.

Mr.Swapnil Bangor i/b H & M Legal Associates for plaintiffs.
Mr.D.H.Pardesh for respondent no.1
Mr.Vijay Sutrale for defendant no.3.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR J.
23rd August, 2005.

P.C.

. Heard Counsel for the parties.

. As the proposed defendants are the flat purchasers, in my opinion, it is appropriate that they are impleaded as defendants to the present suit for full, complete and effectual adjudication of all the issues between the parties. Counsel for defendant no.3 has no objection. However, for the reasons mentioned earlier, the chamber summons deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Order accordingly.

. Amendment to be carried out within four weeks

from today. However, it is made clear that this amendment is granted without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the respective parties in the pending proceedings. No order as to costs.